Cabinet



Title of Report:	Recommendation of the Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee: 25 January 2017 Treasury Management Report 2016/2017 – Investment Activity (1 April to 31 December 2016)			
Report No:	CAB/SE/17/006			
Report to and dates:	Cabinet	7 February 2017		
	Council	21 February 2017		
Portfolio holder: Chairman of the Committee:	Ian Houlder Portfolio Holder for Resources and Performance Tel: 01284 810074 Email: ian.houlder@stedsbc.gov.uk Sarah Broughton Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee Tel: 01284 787327 Email: sarah.broughton@stedsbc.gov.uk			
Lead Officer: Purpose of report:	Joanne Howlett Service Manager - Finance and Performance Tel: 01284 757264 Email: joanne.howlett@westsuffolk.gov.uk On 25 January 2017, the Performance and Audit			
ruipose oi report:	Scrutiny Committee considered Report No: TMS/SE/17/001, which had been scrutinised by the Treasury Management Sub-Committee on 16 January 2017. The report presented the Council's Treasury Management Report summarising the investment activity for the period 1 April to 31 December 2016.			

Recommendation:	It is <u>RECOMMENDED</u> that, subject to the approval of Council, the Treasury Management Report 2016-2017, attached at Appendix 1 to Report No: TMS/SE/17/001, be approved.				
Key Decision: (Check the appropriate box and delete all those that do not apply.)	Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition? Yes, it is a Key Decision - □ No, it is not a Key Decision - ⊠				
Consultation:	• See		e Report No: TMS/SE/17/001		
Alternative option(s): • See		e Report No: TMS/SE/17/001			
Implications:					
Are there any financial implications? If yes, please give details Are there any staffing implications? If yes, please give details		Yes □ No □ • See Report No: TMS/SE/17/001 Yes □ No □ • See Report No: TMS/SE/17/001			
Are there any ICT implications? If yes, please give details			Yes □ No □ • See Report No: TMS/SE/17/001		
Are there any legal and/or policy implications? If yes, please give details			Yes □ No □ • See Report No: TMS/SE/17/001		
Are there any equality implications? If yes, please give details			Yes □ No □ • See Report No: TMS/SE/17/001		
Risk/opportunity assessment:			(potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives)		
ris	herent le k (before ntrols)	vel of	Controls	Residual risk (after controls)	
See Report No: TMS/SE/17/001					
Ward(s) affected:		All Wards			
Background papers: (all background papers are to be published on the website and a link included)		See Report No: TMS/SE/17/001 to Treasury Management Sub-Committee and the Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee: Annual Treasury Management and Investment Strategy Statements 2017/2018			
Documents attached:			None		

1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation

1.1 **Key Issues**

- 1.1.1 Following the Treasury Management Sub-Committee's consideration of Report No: TMS/SE/17/001, the Head of Resources and Performance verbally reported to the Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee on the Sub-Committee's consideration of the report and recommendation.
- 1.1.2 It was reported that as at the end of December 2016, interest earned during the first nine months of the financial year amount to £327,926, an overachievement of £119,814. The over-achievement of interest was predominantly due to higher cash balances being available for investment than expected. These increases were mainly due to timing differences in the collection and payment of Council Tax, NNDR and other revenue streams, and underspends relating to the budgeted capital programme. The reduced average rate of return was due to the reduction in the Bank of England base rate and resulting reduction of interest rates offered by institutions.
- 1.1.3 The Sub-Committee was informed that the Council held £55,450,000 in investments, and had no borrowings or temporary loans as at 31 December 2016.
- 1.1.4 The Treasury Management Sub-Committee had examined the report in detail. In particular, the Sub-Committee discussed the different daily interest rates offered by various institutions.
- 1.1.5 The Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee considered the report and has put forward a recommendation as set out on page one of this report.